



KYOVA 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)

KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission

400 Third Avenue
Huntington, West Virginia 25701

www.wvs.state.wv.us/kyova/

The contents of this report reflect the view of KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission, which is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the West Virginia Division of Highways, the Ohio Department of Transportation, or the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.

May 2013



Abstract

TITLE: KYOVA 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan¹

AUTHORS: KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. (lead consultant)

SUBJECT: The development of the year KYOVA 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) was accomplished by the means of a qualitative and quantitative analysis of all factors required by the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21)—signed into law on July 6, 2012—and in cooperation with the West Virginia Department of Transportation, Ohio Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), Federal Transit Administration (FTA), Tri-State Transit Authority (TTA), and Lawrence County Public Transit System.

DATE: May 2013

SOURCE: KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission
400 Third Avenue www.wvs.state.wv.us/kyova/
Huntington, West Virginia 25701

AUTHORIZED OFFICER: Michele Craig, Executive Director mcraig@ntelos.net

ABSTRACT: This document describes the process of the development of the KYOVA 2040 MTP. The KYOVA 2040 MTP recommends the region's transportation system needs through 2040, based on best analysis of current conditions and projected needs and guided by the complex requirements of the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and Clean Air Act Amendment (CAAA). The KYOVA 2040 MTP recognizes the relationship between transportation facilities, employment, population, goods movement, land use, and air quality. The KYOVA 2040 MTP emphasizes maintaining and increasing the operating efficiency of the existing system before expensive new facilities are considered. It recognizes that improvement to river, rail, air, trail, and transit systems are equally important as improvement to the highway system. It is estimated that \$6.7 billion in WVDOT, ODOT, FTA and FHWA funds will be available through 2040 to fund capital, maintenance, and operation projects.

¹ KYOVA 2040 MTP Development Schedule

- 03/01/2013—First Draft KYOVA 2040 MTP✓
- 05/03/2013—Final Draft✓

KYOVA Staff Members

Michele Craig, Executive Director
Saleem A. Salameh, Technical Study Director
Jody Sigmon, Associate Planner/GIS
Bethany Wild, Associate Planner/GIS Specialist
Dora A. Young, Fiscal/Office Manager
Danielle Slusher, Secretary/Bookkeeper
Cara Adams, Administrative Assistant/Data Entry Clerk

KYOVA INTERSTATE PLANNING COMMISSION

2040 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLAN AND 2014-2017 TRANSPORTATION
IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (TIP) CONFORMITY DETERMINATION WITH 1990 CLEAN
AIR ACT AMENDMENTS

WHEREAS, the KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission (KYOVA) is the officially designated Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) for transportation planning in the Huntington, West Virginia, Ashland, Kentucky and Ironton, Ohio Metropolitan Area.

WHEREAS, KYOVA is responsible for developing 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and a four-year Transportation Improvement Program for the Huntington, Ashland, Ironton, and completed the modeling process for the purposes of air quality analysis/conformity Analysis.

WHEREAS 40 CFR Parts 51 and 93 of the Final Rule under the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 requires the MPO to use latest and most current information and data to determine Air Quality Conformity for the 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan for the Huntington-Ironton MSA, and;

WHEREAS, the KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission has, in coordination with the West Virginia Department of Transportation, Division of Highways; the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Division of Air Quality; Kentucky Transportation Cabinet and the Ohio Department of Transportation determined that the 2040 Transportation Plan and 2014-2017 TIP is in conformity, and;

WHEREAS, the selection priorities, design concept, and scope of projects from the current TIP have not changed as a result of the adoption of this plan. Therefore, the conformity determination of the TIP is unchanged and is consistent with the conformity determination of the transportation plan. The adoption of the Air Quality Conformity Determination for the Transportation Plan reaffirms the Air Quality Conformity Determination, for the TIP.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT: KYOVA determines that there is conformity between the adopted FY 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the West Virginia and Ohio State Implementation Plan for the attainment of the National Air Quality Ambient Quality Standards (NAAQS), as described below.

KYOVA determines that the Metropolitan Transportation Plan and the Transportation Improvement Program as endorsed for the Huntington-Ironton MSA conforms to the West Virginia and Ohio State Implementation Plans, by supporting their intentions of achieving and maintaining NAAQS.

KYOVA assures that the adopted Transportation Plan contains no goals, directives, recommendations, or projects, which contradict any requirements or commitments of the West Virginia or Ohio State Implementation Plans.

Based upon the attached support documentation, KYOVA has determined that the adopted Transportation Plan will contribute to annual reductions in ozone emissions in the area for the maintenance -attainment area for 8 Hour ozone Standard of Ambient Air Quality and Particulate Matter (PM 2.5) Standards (NAAQS) compliance. Based upon the same support documentation, KYOVA further determined that the 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan and 2014-2017 Transportation Improvement Program does not increase the emissions of ozone precursors in the future, in excess of the emissions budget included in the State Implementation Plan.



Jason Stephens
KYOVA Interstate Planning
Commission



Michele P. Craig, Executive Director
KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission

March 1, 2013

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Moving ahead for progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) requires a Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) be adopted by each Metropolitan Planning Area, and;

WHEREAS, KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission (KYOVA) is the Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Huntington, Ashland, Ironton Metropolitan Area, conducting the Huntington, Ashland, Ironton Area Transportation Study (HAIATS), and;

WHEREAS, The Huntington, Ashland, Ironton Area Transportation Study has met the provisions of the (MAP-21), in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for Fiscal Years 2014-2017.

WHEREAS, The selection priorities, design concept and scope of projects from the current TIP have not changed as a result of the adoption of this plan, therefore the conformity determination of the TIP is unchanged and is consistent with the conformity determination of the transportation plan. The adoption of the Air Quality Conformity Determination for the Transportation Plan reaffirms the Air Quality Conformity Determination for the TIP.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission adopt the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for the Fiscal Years 2014-2017. To become effective upon its inclusion in the State's Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) and its adoption by FHWA and FTA at the beginning of their fiscal year.



Jason Stephens, Chairman
KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission

Date: March 1, 2013



Acknowledgements

On behalf of the project team, the KYOVA Interstate Planning Commission thanks the diverse group of participants whose input was instrumental to create a blueprint for a safe and sustainable transportation system that provides real choice among modes of travel. The *KYOVA 2040 Metropolitan Transportation Plan* is the direct result of a collaborative effort between the MPO and its member jurisdictions with support from the West Virginia and Ohio Departments of Transportation, numerous federal agencies, and a host of stakeholders. We extend our sincere appreciation to the elected officials, residents, stakeholders, and local staff who participated in the planning process and guided the development of this plan. Everyone's time, input, and energy are greatly appreciated.

KYOVA

Michele Craig, Executive Director
Saleem Salameh, Technical Study Director
Jody Sigmon, Associate Planner
Bethany Wild, Associate Planner
Dora A. Young, Fiscal/Office Manager
Danielle Slusher, Secretary/Bookkeeper
Cara Adams, Administrative Assistant

Consultant Team

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.

HDR Engineering, Inc.
Michael Baker Corporation
RLS & Associates, Inc.
E.L. Robinson, Inc.

Steering Committee

Tom Bell – Huntington Municipal Development Authority
Rich Blankenship – Mayor, City of Ironton
Jerry Brienza – Huntington Tri-State Airport
Douglas Cade – Lawrence County Engineer
Nancy Cartmill – Cabell County Commission
Randy Cheetham – CSX
Paul Davis – Tri-State Transit Authority
Bill Dingus – Lawrence County Chamber of Commerce
Greg Farley – Wayne County Sheriff
Dave Graley – Cabell Huntington Hospital Foundation
David Hagley – City of Huntington Public Works
Charles Holley – Huntington Planning Department
Dan Jeffries- Prestera Trucking
Ralph Kline – Ironton-Lawrence County Area CAO
Dr. Stephen Kopp – President, Marshall University
Jeff Lawless – Lawrence County Sheriff
Matt Mannis – Superior Marine
Drew Marrs – Norfolk Southern
Brent Marsteller – Cabell Huntington Hospital
Tom McComas – Cabell County Sheriff
A. Gordon Merry – Cabell County EMS
Bob Pasley – Wayne County Commission
Rob Pennington – WV Division of Highways
Don Perdue – Wayne County Economic Development Authority
Bob Plymale – Rahall Transportation Institute
Lake Polan – Allied Logistics
Michael Sellards – St. Mary's Medical Center
Marc Sprouse – HADCO
Jason Stephens – Lawrence County Auditor
Chris Tatum – Village of Barboursville
Ty Thompson – Ohio Department of Transportation
James Turner – Huntington Regional Chamber of Commerce
Bill Willis – Wayne County EMS
Kim Wolfe – Former Mayor, City of Huntington



Table of Contents

Chapter 1 | Introduction and Vision

Background.....	1-1
Planning Process.....	1-7
Vision, Guiding Principles, and Goals.....	1-18
Plan Organization	1-24

Chapter 2 | Social and Environmental Element

Introduction.....	2-1
Socioeconomic Conditions.....	2-3
Environmental Assessment.....	2-22
Conclusion	2-25

Chapter 3 | Roadway Element

Introduction.....	3-1
Corridor Characteristics	3-3
Recommendations	3-18

Chapter 4 | Safety and Security Element

Introduction.....	4-1
Safety Element.....	4-4
Security Element.....	4-26
Additional Considerations	4-31

Chapter 5 | Bicycle and Pedestrian Element

Introduction.....	5-1
Facility Recommendations.....	5-5
Program and Policy Issues.....	5-22
Additional Considerations	5-24

Chapter 6 | Transit Element

Introduction.....	6-1
Existing Conditions	6-2
Service Analysis	6-27

Chapter 7 | Aviation, Freight, Maritime, and Rail Element

Introduction.....	7-1
Existing Conditions	7-3
Recommendations	7-20

Chapter 8 | Land Use Considerations

Land Use and Urban Form.....	8-1
Urban Form and Travel Behavior.....	8-2
Influence of Urban Form – The Four D’s....	8-3
Accommodating Future Growth.....	8-6
Suitability Assessment.....	8-9

Chapter 9 | Financial Plan

Introduction.....	9-1
Financial Plan Scenarios	9-2
Transportation Funding Sources.....	9-16

Chapter 10 | Implementation Plan

Introduction.....	10-1
Implementation Philosophy.....	10-2
Guiding Principles	10-4
Conclusion	10-12

Chapter 11 | Air Quality Conformity

Introduction.....	11-1
Methodology.....	11-2
Results Summary.....	11-9



Chapter 1 — Introduction and Vision

Figure 1.1 – Study Area.....	1-5
------------------------------	-----

Chapter 2 — Social and Environmental Element

Figure 2.1 – Percent Minority Population.....	2-5
Figure 2.2 – Percent Elderly Population	2-7
Figure 2.3 – Population Density	2-11
Figure 2.4 – Percent Low Income Population.....	2-15
Figure 2.5 – Percent No Vehicle Population	2-19
Figure 2.6 – Environmental Context.....	2-23

Chapter 3 — Roadway Element

Figure 3.1 – Existing (2010) Traffic Volumes.....	3-7
Figure 3.2 – Base Year Congestion (2010).....	3-11
Figure 3.3 – Existing+Committed Congestion (2040).....	3-15
Figure 3.4 – 2040 Roadway Recommendations.....	3-21
Figure 3.4a – 2040 Roadway Recommendations (Huntington Detail).....	3-23

Chapter 4 — Safety and Security Element

Figure 4.1 – Intersection Recommendations	4-7
Figure 4.1a – Intersection Recommendations (Huntington Detail)	4-9
Figure 4.2 – Incident Management Improvements	4-35
Traffic and Safety Study for US 52 and SR 7 (Figure 14 – Western Corridor Alternatives)	4-39
Traffic and Safety Study for US 52 and SR 7 (Figure 15 – Central Corridor Alternatives).....	4-41
Traffic and Safety Study for US 52 and SR 7 (Figure 16 – Eastern Corridor Alternatives)	4-43

Chapter 5 — Bicycle and Pedestrian Element

Figure 5.1 – Preliminary PATH System.....	5-9
Figure 5.2 – Bicycle and Pedestrian Recommendations	5-11

Chapter 6 — Transit Element

Figure 6.1 – TTA West Virginia Routes.....	6-3
Figure 6.2 – TTA Lawrence County Routes.....	6-9
Figure 6.3 – Wayne Express Routes	6-13

Chapter 7 — Aviation, Freight, Maritime, and Rail Element

Figure 7.1 – Aviation Facilities.....	7-7
Figure 7.2 – Aviation Recommendations.....	7-23
Figure 7.3 – Freight, Maritime, & Rail Recommendations.....	7-27

Chapter 8 — Land Use Considerations

Figure 8.1 – Future Growth Classification.....	8-13
--	------

Chapter 9 — Financial Plan

Figure 9.1 – Financially Constrained Roadway Recommendations	9-11
Figure 9.2 – Financially Constrained Congestion (2040).....	9-13



Selected Tables

Chapter 3 — Roadway Element

Table 3.2 – HIATS 2012-2015 TIP Projects3-13

Table 3.3 – Prioritization Matrix3-25

Chapter 4 — Safety and Security Element

Table 4.2 – HIATS 2012-2015 TIP Projects4-3

Chapter 5 — Bicycle and Pedestrian Element

Table 5.2 – Bicycle Recommendations – Lawrence County, Ohio5-13

Table 5.3 – Bicycle Recommendations – Cabell County, West Virginia5-16

Table 5.4 – Bicycle Recommendations – Wayne County, West Virginia5-21

Chapter 6 — Transit Element

Table 6.20 – Proposed Lawrence County Routes6-31

Table 6.21 – Current and Proposed Route Frequencies6-33

Chapter 7 — Aviation, Freight, Maritime, and Rail Element

Table 7.1 – Top 10 Truck Commodities Originating by Tonnage7-10

Table 7.2 – Top 10 Truck Commodities Terminating by Tonnage7-10

Table 7.5 – Major Commodities Shipped by Direction7-14

Table 7.6 – Inbound and Outbound West Virginia Rail Tonnage7-17

Chapter 8 — Land Use Considerations

Table 8.1 – Character Area Density Range8-9

Chapter 9 — Financial Plan

Table 9.1 – 2040 LRTP Revenue Forecast (Cabell and Wayne Counties)9-2

Table 9.2 – 2040 LRTP Costs (Cabell and Wayne Counties)9-2

Table 9.3 – 2040 LRTP Revenue Forecast (Lawrence County)9-2

Table 9.4 – 2040 LRTP Costs (Lawrence County)9-2

Table 9.5 – Highway Costs and Revenues (Cabell and Wayne Counties)9-3

Table 9.6 – Highway Costs and Revenues (Lawrence County)9-3

Table 9.7 – Roadway Project Cost Estimates (2030 Horizon)9-4

Table 9.8 – Roadway Project Cost Estimates (2040 Horizon)9-6

Table 9.9 – Roadway Project Cost Estimates (Vision Plan)9-7

Table 9.10 – Pedestrian & Bicycle Costs and Revenues (Cabell and Wayne Counties)9-15

Table 9.11 – Pedestrian & Bicycle Costs and Revenues (Lawrence County)9-15

Table 9.12 – Transit Costs and Revenues (ITA and Wayne Express)9-15

Table 9.13 – Transit Costs and Revenues (Lawrence County Port Authority)9-15

Chapter 10 — Implementation Plan

Table 10.1 – Roadway Element Recommendations10-4

Table 10.2 – Safety and Security Element Recommendations10-6

Table 10.3 – Bicycle and Pedestrian Element Recommendations10-7

Table 10.4 – Transit Element Recommendations10-9

Table 10.5 – Aviation, Freight, Maritimes, and Rail Element Recommendations10-10

Chapter 11 — Air Quality Conformity

Table 11.1 – 8-Hour Ozone Motor Vehicle Emission Budgets11-1

Table 11.2 – HPMS Vehicle Type VMTs11-6

Table 11.3 – Projected NOx and VOC Emissions11-9